

**Confederation of Reformed Evangelical Churches
Minutes of Anselm Presbytery Annual Meeting
Anchorage, Alaska, October 4, 5 2010**

Monday, October 4, 2010

Agenda I, II, and III

Presiding Minister of Presbytery Dennis Tuuri (hereafter PM Tuuri) called the meeting to order at 9:02am and asked Pastor Garry Vanderveen to give the invocation. All sang "Rise up O Men of God" and Pastor Jeff Harlow gave the scriptural exhortation on Galatians 6:9, 10 urging ministers to not grow weary but to do good over and over again, shepherding the flock even when they don't want to be shepherded for God has promised to bear us up. PM Tuuri thanked Pastor Harlow.

Agenda IV Roll Call and Establishment of a Quorum

Roll called. Nine of Ten churches being present a quorum was established and PM Tuuri declared the presbytery meeting in session and able to conduct business.

Present:

Christ Covenant Church	Langley, BC	Garry Vanderveen, Ken Ewert
Christ Covenant Church	Lynnwood, WA	Dean Hellekson, Ron Coverdale
Christ the King Church	Eugene, OR	K. C. Rumrey
Covenant Bible Church	Chugiak, AK	Jack Phelps, Gerald Allsup
Evangelical Reformed Church	Poznan, Poland	Bogumil Jarmulak, Andrzej Polaszek
Mitaka Evangelical Church	Tokyo, Japan	Ralph Smith
Reformation Covenant Church	Oregon City, OR	Dennis Tuuri*, Flynn Ayers
Trinity Church	Kirkland, WA	Dave Hatcher, Jerry Owen,
Trinity Reformation Church	Salem, OR	Jeff Harlow

*Presiding Minister of Presbytery, non-voting

Absent:

Evangelical Reformed Church	Wroclaw, Poland	Marek Kmiec, Sebastian Smolarz
-----------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------

V.Introduction of Guests and Visitors

A. Rev. John Jones, Faith Presbyterian Church, PCA, Anchorage, Alaska
Pastor Jack Phelps introduced Rev. Jones. PM Tuuri welcomed him and invited him to join the table.

Pastor Phelps thanked Abigail and Reuben Allsup, Ben and Aaron Mischenko for setting up the tables.

Invited but not present

B. Rev. Scott Johnson, Grace Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC), Wasilla, Alaska

VI.Sermon by Attila Hajdu

For his ordination exam Attila gave a sermon on Luke 13:18-21 covering the parable of the mustard seed and the parable of the leaven. In it He proclaimed that God likes to make great things out of little ones a testimony to his infinite power. In this same way described in the parables we see that God is at work in the world and in the hearts of men and He will have success.

PM Tuuri thanked Attila

VII. Member Reports

A representative from each church reported on the condition of and various activities of their church during this last year. Following Pastor Vanderveen's report Pastor Phelps suggested that the next church in order pray for the preceding church before giving their report. All agreed.

Following the Church report of Christ the King in Eugene PM Tuuri called for 15min recess at 10:35am. At 10:50 PM Tuuri reconvened the meeting and apologized that no electronic or hard copy of church reports had been provided for the delegates. An electronic copy of the church reports was made available via email at this time. PM Tuuri called a break for lunch. Pastor Jack Phelps presented the delegates Ulu knives, gifts from Covenant Bible Church Chugiak, Alaska. Lunch break began at 12:00 noon. PM Tuuri reconvened at 1:45.

VIII. Updates on Current Candidate and Mission Churches Not Being Considered for Full Membership

A. Reformed Evangelical Church, Diósd Mission, Hungary

Mission Church of Evangelical Reformed Church, Poznan

Attila was not present. Pastor Jarmulak indicated that Attila will give an update immediately before the ordination exam

B. Evangelical Reformed Church, Gdansk, Poland

Mission Church of Evangelical Reformed Church, Wroclaw

Pastor Jarmulak gave the update for Elder Bartosik and said that ministry in Gdansk was tough but proceeding. Gdansk would be a good location for a mission trip in 2011 and that this would be great blessing to the church. PM Tuuri prayed for Elder Pawel Bartosik.

IX. Consideration of Candidate and Mission Churches for CREC Membership

A. Presbyterian Reformed Church of Burgas, Bulgaria

Mission Church of Evangelical Reformed Church, Poznan, Poland

Pastor Jarmulak moved that Presbyterian Reformed Church of Burgas, Bulgaria be seated as a member church in the CREC. Pastor Phelps seconded. Pastor Jarmulak gave brief history of his contact with Pastor Yavor Rusinov. PM Tuuri noted that Pastor Rusinov is an ordained minister and that by accepting his church into the CREC we also accept his ordination. Discussion followed. Pastor Rusinov was instructed to include all the creeds that are in CREC constitution in his church's constitution as acceptable. Pastor Rusinov indicated he has no objections to CREC constitution and will comply. Pastor Rusinov asked to change the word "heresy" to "errors" or equivalent in his church's statement of faith concerning Premillennialism. Heresy would be communicating more than what he had intended. This was resultant from differences in translating Bulgarian to English.

PM Tuuri called for vote, 14:0:0, Motion Passed. Pastor Rusinov took the oath given by PM Tuuri. Presbyterian Reformed Church of Burgas, Bulgaria is officially seated as a member of the Anselm Presbytery in the CREC. PM Tuuri reminded us that Pastor Rusinov will not be eligible to vote until the next meeting of Presbytery.

B. Rivne Biblical Church, Rivne, Ukraine

Mission Church of Covenant Bible Church

Pastor Phelps moved that Rivne Biblical Church of Rivne, Ukraine be seated as a member church in the CREC. Pastor Rumrey seconded. Pastor Phelps gave brief history of his contact with Pastor

San Sanych. Discussion followed. Pastor Sanych was instructed to include all the creeds that are in CREC constitution in his church's constitution as acceptable. Pastor Phelps noted that technically Rivne Biblical Church could not become members until they adopt the CREC constitution. Covenant Bible Church of Chugiak, Alaska will ensure they will make those changes. Pastor Sanych asked for clarification concerning the terrorism memorial.

PM Tuuri called for vote, 14:0:0, Motion Passed. Pastor Sanych took the oath given by PM Tuuri. Rivne Biblical Church, Rivne, Ukraine is officially seated as a member of the Anselm Presbytery in the CREC.

X. Introduction and Seating of New Candidate Churches – (none)

PM Tuuri called for 10min break 3:50pm

Reconvened at 4:00pm

XI. Old Business

A. Committee of Council (Name Change) Report and Motion

Pastor Smith moved to vote on name change. No discussion: 3:9:2 Motion failed to pass

CREC Name Change Committee

Gregg Strawbridge, Steve Wilkins, Dennis Tuuri, Randy Booth, and Douglas Wilson (chair)

After much deliberation and discussion, our committee has resolved to present to our presbyteries the following proposal for a name change. We recommend changing our name from the Confederation of Reformed Evangelical Church (CREC) to the Evangelical Reformed Churches (ERC). The motion to recommend this change carried unanimously, with no dissenting votes. This was not because the committee was incapable of dissent, for many other proposals elicited robust discussion and strong responses, both for and against.

It is our understanding that this proposal may be voted on in the respective presbyteries, with a straight up and down vote. If five presbyteries approve it, then the name is formally changed. If the requisite number of presbyteries fail to approve this recommendation, then we will remain the CREC. If it is the will of the presbyteries to modify our proposal, or substitute another recommendation entirely, then that would have to wait until the Council of 2011.

We were charged with the task of investigating the possibility of a name change as a result of a request from one of our churches (dealing with the connotations of the word *confederation*). At the same time, we wanted a name that had some similarities and continuities with our current name in the belief that any proposal for a drastic name change would likely fail to gain the approval of the presbyteries.

We thank you for the opportunity to serve in this way, and await your decision.

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas Wilson, chair

B. Consideration of adding the 39 Articles and the 2nd Helvetic Confession to the list of Acceptable Confessions for Member Churches

Pastor Phelps explained the rationale. Discussion: No action taken.

C. Committee on Ecclesiastical Discipline and Relations Report

Pastor Smith reported. Discussion: It was decided that the committee should continue its work.

Statement of origin from 2009 minutes "a committee to consider how best to address the issue of CREC churches having a formal relationship with persons under some form of discipline from other churches or denominations and the possibility of recommending changes to the Book of Procedures and/or the Constitution.

XII. New Business

A. Report from Presiding Minister of Council – Jack Phelps (*Hereafter PMC Phelps*)

PMC Phelps urged the presbytery to commit to pray for the presiding ministers of presbytery and council.

PMC Phelps moved for ratification of his decision to dissolve the committee appointed to review and recommend a potential denominational name change. Pastor Harlow seconded. PM Tuuri called for the vote 14:0:0, Motion passed.

PMC Phelps moved for ratification of his decision to appoint Casa Leidenfrost to the foreign missions committee as a replacement for a member of that committee who has resigned his position of eldership with the CREC church he had been serving. Pastor Jarmulak seconded. PM Tuuri called for the vote 14:0:0, Motion passed.

PMC Phelps informed the presbytery that the ad hoc committee called for the purpose of helping PMC Phelps review the matter of dispute between King's Chapel in Brooklyn, NY and Messiah's Covenant Community Church is dissolved.

PMC Phelps moved for ratification of his decision both to appoint a Pastoral Commission of Council to attempt to restore peace between Covenant Reformed Presbyterian Church in Knoxville, Tennessee and their former pastor David Queener, and for its dissolution as well. Elder Ewart seconded. PM Tuuri called for the vote 14:0:0, Motion passed.

B. Report from Presiding Minister of Presbytery - Dennis Tuuri

C. Motion from ERC, Poznan, Poland

Pastor Jarmulak moved to change in the constitution the minimum required number of churches to form a presbytery from two to three. Elder Ewert seconded. Pastor Jarmulak explained the rationale. Discussion: PM Tuuri called for the vote 14:0:0, Motion passed.

Evangelical Reformed Church in Poznan, Poland: to change the minimal required number of churches to form a presbytery from two to three.

To ensure a better functioning of the Confederation, we move to change a minimal required number of churches to form a presbytery from two to three. This would require a change in Article VI.A.1 from its present wording to: "A minimum of **three** local churches is necessary to establish a presbytery."

Our Constitution in its present form says in Article VI.A.1: "A minimum of two local churches is necessary to establish a presbytery". The problem with the number "two" is that in point D.5 we read: "After a fair and open judicial hearing at presbytery, a congregation may be removed from membership in the presbytery by a two-thirds

vote of the presbytery". But if there are only two churches in a presbytery, how can one of them be removed from a presbytery "by a two-thirds vote"? This would require at least three congregations in a presbytery: two of them voting for a removal of the third one. The same could be said about point C.5 of the same Article VI: "Before his term is completed, a minister may resign his position, or may be removed by a three-fourths vote of the assembly". Here we read about "three-fourths vote" and one can imagine a situation when there are two churches in a presbytery and three of four delegates vote for the removing of a minister, but more probable is a situation where delegates from three other church want unequivocally to remove the minister from his office. This leads to a conclusion that in certain critical situations a presbytery containing only two congregations becomes dysfunctional and cannot fulfill its mission. Therefore a presbytery should contain at least three member churches.

D. Motion from RCC to Amend Constitution

PM Tuuri withdrew the motion and explained that the errors it addresses in the constitution can be fixed without requiring an action of presbytery.

1. That the CREC Constitution be amended at Article IIF. The Offices, replacing, amending, or deleting the two Constitutional references found therein ("IX.C" and "IV.P.")

"F. Any candidate for pastor, minister, or teacher regardless of his level of formal education, will be examined before ordination (**See Article IX.C**). The candidate will be examined by a local session of elders with regard to his manner of life, knowledge of Scripture, and doctrinal understanding. The presbytery will also examine him with regard to his manner of life, knowledge of Scripture, and doctrinal understanding. The presbytery may or may not recommend his ordination to the session of the local congregation. The local session is not judicially bound by the recommendation of presbytery. If a local session does not abide by the presbytery recommendation, then the presbytery may or may not initiate proceedings according to **Article IV.P.**"

Rationale:

We can't find the two references in the Constitution that are bolded in our motion above, and so we think they need to be changed. But we don't know what they are referring to, so we don't know what to change them to!

E. Motion from RCC to Amend BOP and Guidelines and Instructions:

Pastor Ayers moved that Anselm Presbytery petition the Minister of Council that he appoint a Committee of Council to redraft BOP Article XI, and the Guidelines and Instructions for the Confederation of Reformed Evangelicals Presbytery Ordination Exams and Related Subjects. We further recommend that this Committee produce a timeline for their work by December 1, 2010, and that the final Committee Report and Draft be submitted to the Presbyteries by August 1, 2011. Pastor Vanderveen seconded. Pastor Ayers explained the rationale. Pastor Vanderveen called for the question; without objection, PM Tuuri called the vote. 14:0:0, Motion Passed

That Anselm Presbytery will petition the Minister of Council that he appoint a Committee of Council to redraft BOP Article XI, and the Guidelines and Instructions for the Confederation of Reformed Evangelicals Presbytery Ordination Exams and Related Subjects. We further recommend that this Committee produce a timeline for their work by December 1, 2010, and that the final Committee Report and Draft be submitted to the Presbyteries by August 1, 2011.

Rationale:

We think the present section of the BOP relating to ordination examinations and the Guidelines for the same need major revisions.

First, they are confusing and it seems we always end up with confusion, as we did last year with KC Rumrey last year, and this year with Attila. This is why Rev. Lusk, as I understand it, was going to try to put together a flow chart for another Presbytery, so that the procedures could be more clearly seen.

Second, there presently seems to be no delineated procedure for changing the Guidelines.

Third, the description of the exegetical paper needs complete revision, in our mind. What is described therein does not yield a product that would normally be seen as an exegetical paper.

Fourth, there have been concerns aired in other presbyteries over our procedures, as well as at Anselm last year. This is why we are having a videoconference with Jeff Meyers in October at Anselm's Ministers Conference. We expect that Jeff will give us some good food for thought in terms of evaluating what we have now, and thinking about what we want in the future.

Fifth, this work seems properly done by a committee of Council rather than by a single Presbytery.

Sixth, the work of all the committees I have been on so far have been, in my mind, substandard due to insufficient time spent on the tasks. Therefore it seems good to mandate a timeline, etc.

F. At the request of the Minister of Council, a discussion of the elimination of the Memorial on Incorporation.

Pastor Phelps moved that Anselm presbytery send an overture to council to remove the memorial on incorporation. Pastor Owen seconded. Pastor Phelps explained the rationale. Discussion: PM Tuuri called for the vote 14:0:0, Motion passed.

PM Tuuri called for a 5 min break 4:55pm

Reconvene at 5:05pm

PM Tuuri prayed

XIII. Ordination Examination Attila Hajdu

PM Tuuri called break for evening 6:00pm

Tuesday, October 5th

Reconvened 9:00am

PM Tuuri called the meeting to order

All sang Psalm 103

Pastor Owen prayed.

XIV. Continuation of Ordination Examination of Attila Hajdu

Pastor Phelps introduced Rev. Scott Johnson Grace Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC), Wasilla, Alaska at 9:45am

PM Tuuri called for a 15 min break 10:30 am

Reconvened 10:48am

Attila's exam ended 11:30 am

PM Tuuri called for a recess

Pastor Phelps, speaking for the ordination committee, recommended Attila for ordination with the understanding that the committee will be providing areas of further study for him.

XV. Location/Date of Anselm Presbytery Meeting in 2011Tuesday

2011 Church Council and Presbytery meetings

Week of October 3-7, 2011

Hosted by Christ Church, Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota

PM Tuuri formally thanked Pastor Phelps and the host church, Covenant Bible Church, Chugiak, AK

Pastor Phelps announced it was a pleasure.

XVI. Closing Psalm Singing and Prayer

All sang the Gloria Patri.

PM Tuuri prayed.

Pastor Smith motioned to adjourn. Pastor Harlow seconded 14:00, Motion passed.

The 2010 meeting of Anselm Presbytery of the CREC is ended.

Suggested Work Product Guidelines for Anselm Presbytery Committees

(1) **Background:** Tell us what issues led to the overture or the formation of the committee. If it's a committee, then state what the committee's mandate was. Why? So that when we read the report, we can tell if it's in accord with the mandate. If the name change committee started making recommendations about, say, the formation of new presbyteries in Russia, we'd need to look first at the committee's mandate so that we can then say that this recommendation exceeds the mandate.

(2) The **report** proper. If it's a study committee, this is where you put in all the results of the study. If you're writing an overture, of course, you don't have a report.

(3) **Recommendations/Overture:** If it's a committee report, then the first recommendation ought to be that one or more men on the committee be given the privilege of the floor in order to answer questions, etc., about the report and the work of the committee. (Question: Are members of the Name Change Committee going to be at each Presbytery? If not, then how can we ask them questions about their work?)

If it's an overture, then there needs to be a clear statement about what the Session or Presbytery making the overture wants done: "Congregation X overtures Y Presbytery to ..." Or: "Y Presbytery overtures General Assembly 2010 to ..." Or, in some cases, "Congregation X overtures Y Presbytery to overture General Assembly 2010 to..."

(4) **Grounds:** Every major recommendation (not the one to allow committee members to speak or to distribute copies of the report or whatever) requires grounds. If we're recommending a name change, then what are the reasons, not only for changing the name but more specifically for the name that's being recommended?

We ought to assume that a delegate who receives this report or overture in his agenda a few weeks before the presbytery has no prior knowledge of anything in the report/overture. That is, we ought not to assume that all of our delegates have been reading every e-mail on this list or have kept up with every issue. Therefore, we really ought to aid the delegates by spelling everything out in our overtures and reports.

A Guide to the Rules of Order for Presbytery Meeting

Robert's Rules of Order exists to facilitate the decision-making process at meetings. They help ensure that discussion is clear and that the rights of both the majority and the minority are protected. Below are some of the basic rules, redacted from *Robert's Rules*, which should help our meeting run more smoothly and keep discussion on track.

Moderator

The moderator guides the presbytery meeting. He is responsible for ensuring that the meeting runs smoothly and fairly. The moderator remains impartial during the debate and is not the final arbitrator of all decisions: the presbytery ultimately has the authority and the responsibility to decide how the meeting should run.

Main Motion

The basis for discussion is a formal motion. The motion is put forward by being “moved” by a voting delegate of the presbytery to focus discussion. Each motion must have a mover and a seconder to show that it has at least a minimum of support from the delegates. Once a motion has been put “on the floor” for discussion, debate must focus on the substance of the motion. All other discussion is out of order and not allowed. A main motion may not be introduced if there is any other motion on the floor. The mover must state the motion before speaking in favor of it and motions should (when possible) be written out and handed to the moderator so that everyone is clear on what is being discussed.

Order

Once a motion is introduced, the seconder of the motion is given the right to speak immediately after the mover. To ensure that all delegates of the presbytery have an equal opportunity to speak, the moderator will then recognize other speakers.

Amendments

At any time, a person who has the floor can introduce an amendment to the main motion being debated. An amendment is a motion that alters, adds to, subtracts from, or completely changes the main motion. Once an amendment has been moved and seconded, debate must be on the substance of the amendment. An amendment can only be amended once (that is to say, no amendment may be offered to an amendment of second rank; a motion or amendment may be amended continually as long as amendments are handled in turn or of first or second rank. Second rank amendments are amendments that explicitly modify a first rank amendment and not some other aspect of the main motion.). For an amendment to pass, it needs a simple majority. Once an amendment has either been passed, defeated, or withdrawn, discussion reverts back to the main motion, taking into account whether or not the amendment passed. Complex or lengthy amendments should be written out for the moderator to be able to read back to the presbytery.

Point of Order

If a delegate feels that the rules of order are being broken, he can immediately raise a “point of order,” and state what rule has been broken or not enforced by the moderator. A point of order can interrupt a speaker. It can only be used to ask the moderator to enforce the rules. The moderator decides if the point is valid or not, and proceeds accordingly.

Point of Privilege

A point of privilege can interrupt a speaker. A delegate who feels his rights or privileges have been infringed may bring up this point by stating his problem. Privilege refers to anything regarding the comfort or accessibility of the delegate (i.e., too much noise, bad photo copies, etc.), or to the right of

the delegate not to be insulted, misquoted, or deliberately misinterpreted. The moderator decides if the point is valid or not and proceeds accordingly.

Challenge the Moderator

If a delegate feels his point of order or privilege has been ruled on unfairly by the moderator, he may challenge the moderator. The moderator then asks for a motion to uphold the moderator, and the vote is taken. The vote decides whether the action decided upon by the moderator is valid, or whether the delegate is correct. This is normally handled as an "Appeal". Its form is, "Mr. Chairman, I appeal from the decision of the chair." It requires a second, whereupon the chair states clearly the question at issue, and his reasons for the decision if he thinks it necessary, and then states the question thus: "The question is, 'shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgment of the presbytery?'" or "Shall the decision of the chair be sustained?"

Point of Information

A point of information is a QUESTION. A delegate may interrupt the speaker to ask his question, but the speaker who has the floor has the privilege to refuse the question. The moderator will ask the speaker if he wishes to entertain a question at that time. A point of information is not an opportunity to bring forward information, or harass another speaker, or generally disrupt the proceedings. IT CAN ONLY BE A QUESTION.

Table

Debate may end in several ways. If a delegate feels that a decision on a motion needs to be postponed for some reason, then he can move to "table" the motion. A delegate may not move to table the motion at the end of a speech, only at the time they are recognized by the moderator. A specified time may be put on the tabling, or the motion may be left indefinite. The only debate allowed is as to the length of tabling, or the timeline involved. The motion to table requires only a simple majority.

Previous Question

If a delegate feels that further debate is unproductive he may make a motion for the "previous question," requesting the debate be ended and that the vote be taken on the main motion(s) before the presbytery. If there is no objection, the meeting proceeds to the main motion(s). If there is objection then there must be a second to the motion for the previous question and the meeting must vote on whether to end debate. This vote requires a two-thirds majority to pass, and is non-debatable. If the "call" passes, a vote on the main motion is immediately taken, without any further debate.

A motion such as "I call for [or "call"] the question" or "I move we vote now" is simply a motion for the Previous Question made in nonstandard term. Care should be taken that failure to understand this fact does not lead to violation of members' rights of debate. Sometimes the mere making of a motion for the Previous Question or "call for the question" may motivate unanimous consent to ending debate. Before or after such a motion has been seconded, the chair may ask if there is any objection to closing debate. If member(s) object, he should ask if there is a second to the motion or call; or, if it has already been seconded, he must immediately take a vote on whether to order the Previous Question. But regardless of the wording a motion or "call" seeking to close debate, it always requires a second and a two-thirds vote, taken separately from and before the vote(s) on the motion(s) to which it is applied, to shut off debate against the will of even one member who wishes to speak and has not exhausted his right to debate.

Given the foregoing, the Moderator will not consider an unrecognized comment of "Question" or "Call the Question" from members of the Presbytery as the equivalent of a formal motion to call the Previous Question (form, after receiving recognition from the chairman,: "I move the Previous

Question.”), particularly when other members of the Presbytery are obviously seeking to contribute to debate.

Rescind

A motion to rescind another motion is in order if it refers to a motion passed at another meeting on another day. This cannot be applied to actions that cannot be reversed (i.e., things that have already been carried out). This requires a two-thirds majority to pass.

Reconsider

A motion to reconsider is applicable to a motion that was passed at the same meeting. Such a motion must be moved by someone who voted with the prevailing majority on the previous vote. It requires a majority to pass.

Suspension of the Rules

A motion to suspend the rules of order (so that the presbytery may do something not allowed in the rules) must receive a two-thirds majority vote, is not debatable, cannot be amended and cannot be reconsidered at the same meeting. This motion does not allow for the suspension of a constitution or by-laws.

Adjourn

This motion takes precedence over all others, except to “fix the time to adjourn,” to which it yields. It must be seconded, it is not debatable, it cannot be amended, nor can a vote on it be reconsidered. A motion to adjourn cannot be made when another person has the floor, nor after a question has been put and the presbytery is engaged in voting. The motion to adjourn requires a majority vote.

Refer or Commit

This motion is generally used to send a pending question to a committee so that the questions can be carefully investigated. This motion must be seconded and is debatable, but the debate can only extend to the desirability of committing the main motion, not to the substance or the main motion itself. The motion to refer requires a majority vote.

Minutes

The numbering of motions always is by date, and then by when the motion arose in the meeting (YEAR/MONTH/DAY: NUMBER IN ORDER). So the fourteenth motion during the October 13, 2004 meeting would be numbered like: 04/10/13:14.

The three numbers after either “CARRIED,” “DEFEATED,” or “TIED” are arranged in a specific order. The first number indicated the number that voted in favor; the second is the number voting against; the third is the number who abstained. Thus, a decision which saw seven delegates in favor, four against, and two abstaining, would look like: 7-4 -2